



Professional Development Institute

Flex Course Syllabus

Comprehension Strategies for Middle and Upper Grades (4-12)

PDI Course Number: 74T02

ACE Course Number: LE5707

UCSD Course Number: EDUC41476

If you would like information about receiving credit for completing this course, [please click here](#).

Course Timeline

Participants have one year to complete the course. Participants must spend a minimum of three weeks in this course.

Course Description

Are you concerned about students who read the assigned text or chapter yet have little or no comprehension of the content upon completion? Effective comprehension skills are critical for students in the upper grades, as they are exposed to more difficult content reading. This online course begins by providing teachers with an overview of why essential learning targets should be used in tandem with state standards. The course then provides teachers with excellent strategies designed to boost both comprehension and retention. Teachers will learn successful comprehension-building strategies such as DRTA, SQ3R, and close reading. Teachers will also learn tools and techniques to help students learn how to infer, draw conclusions, and summarize. Teachers will receive resources that can be used to teach students about the different text structures and patterns of organization, and how they can use that information to improve their comprehension. Guided comprehension strategies will also be explored. Teachers will also learn how to conduct quick assessments so that they can identify struggling readers more quickly, which gives them the opportunity to offer the necessary support(s) to help those students find success. Teachers will also be presented with ways in which students can perform their own self-assessment, so that they learn how to discover when their comprehension is off track. Finally, teachers will learn how to help build students' vocabulary to better improve comprehension

skills. By the end of this course, teachers will have gained many strategies so that they are better prepared to support their students as they continue to build with their comprehension schemas.

Educational Outcomes

1. Teachers will understand the history behind the Common Core State Standards and why there is now a need to shift to essential standards instead.
2. Teachers will understand what essential standards are, and they will explore the role that professional learning communities (PLCs) play in their creation.
3. Teachers will learn how to create effective essential learning targets using “I Can” statements and by following Bloom’s taxonomy.
4. Teachers will learn how essential learning targets connect to curriculum standards.
5. Teachers will learn what reading comprehension involves, and they will learn strategies for attending to comprehension before, during, and after reading.
6. Teachers will learn about the various levels of comprehension and the different types of comprehension questions that exist.
7. Teachers will learn how to use DRTA.
8. Teachers will learn how to ask questions at various levels of comprehension.
9. Teachers will learn how to develop and teach a guided reading lesson.
10. Teachers will learn how to use the SQ3R strategy.
11. Teachers will understand the importance of teaching close reading techniques.
12. Teachers will learn a variety of strategies to improve students’ comprehension.
13. Teachers will learn how to help students monitor their own comprehension.
14. Teachers will learn different text structures.
15. Teachers will learn strategies for improving students’ comprehension of nonfiction text.
16. Teachers will learn a variety of note-taking and outlining options.
17. Teachers will learn how to identify and support struggling readers.
18. Teachers will learn strategies to teach inference and summarization as important comprehension skills.

Instructional Media

- Online Discussions
- Online Engagement
- Online Collaboration
- Instructor Feedback
- Instructor Interaction
- Online Resources and Websites
- Supplemental Instructional Materials
- Printable Classroom Resources

Evaluation

- Test #1 (5% of final grade)
- Test #2 (5% of final grade)
- Test #3 (5% of final grade)
- Test #4 (5% of final grade)
- Test #5 (5% of final grade)
- Autobiography and Goals for the Course (10% of final grade)
- Article/Video Reflection (15% of final grade)
- Course Collaboration/Share Ideas with the Class (10% of final grade)
- Cumulative Assignment/Project: Develop a Comprehension Strategy Lesson (20% of final grade)
- Culminating Practicum (20% of final grade)

Topical Outline

Unit One

- Essential Learning Targets
- Focus on Comprehension: Putting Research into Action
- Levels of Comprehension
- **Assignment #1**
Write an autobiography including information about yourself, your grade level and what you specifically hope to learn about helping students improve their comprehension in grades 4-12. Your autobiography should be a minimum of three paragraphs.
- **Test #1**

Unit Two

- Focus Strategy: DRTA
- Focus Strategy: SQ3R
- Focus Strategy: Close Reading
- **Assignment #2**
As an educator, it is important to be aware of the research, studies, and professional work done in the field. In the course, you will find an article and video that are relevant to the specific course content. Read the article and then write an essay with your thoughts.
- **Test #2**

Unit Three

- Strategies to Teach Inferring
- Questioning Levels and Techniques
- How to Summarize Text

- **Assignment #3**

Online Discussion Board Participation/Engagement: Please post a tip, strategy, or idea that specifically relates to helping students improve their comprehension in grades 4-12. The tip, strategy, or idea that you share needs to make a difference to other teachers in their own classrooms. Your assignment should be a minimum of three paragraphs and detailed enough for another teacher to follow easily. This is a great opportunity to share and collaborate with other teachers at your grade level around the country. Take time to review and respond to other postings that are relevant to your classroom population in order to gain effective ideas to use immediately in your classroom.

- **Test #3**

Unit Four

- Text Structure and Patterns of Organization
- Focus on Nonfiction Text
- Note Taking and Outlining Skills
- **Test #4**

Unit Five

- Comprehension Assessment
- Working with Struggling Readers
- Guided Comprehension
- **Test #5**

Unit Six

- Effects of Vocabulary on Comprehension
- Teaching Content Vocabulary
- Self-Assessment of Comprehension
- **Assignment #4**

You have been introduced to a variety of comprehension strategies throughout the course. In addition to language arts teachers, all content area teachers should be prepared to teach comprehension strategies. Design a lesson specific to your content area that incorporates a comprehension strategy into the plan. The lesson should clearly address the content/standards being taught as well as the specific comprehension strategy being introduced that is designed to help students comprehend and retain the content. The lesson should be detailed enough for another teacher to use it.

- **Assignment #5**

The culminating practicum is a three-step process. (1) In the first assignment, you were asked what goals you had and what you hoped to learn from the course. Think back to your original goals for this course. Write a minimum two-paragraph reflection specifically describing how what you learned can be used to help you reach those goal(s). (2) Next, write a minimum three-paragraph plan that specifically describes the ways in which you intend to implement a particular strategy you learned in this course into your own teaching situation. (3) Last, write a minimum two-paragraph reflection

describing a student you have or have had in the past. Then, discuss how the strategies you learned in this course will specifically benefit that student as you put your plan into action.

Bibliography

ACT, Inc. (2009). *The Condition of College Readiness 2009*. Iowa City, IA: Author.

Adodo, S. O. (2013). Effect of Mind-Mapping as a Self-Regulated Learning Strategy on Students' Achievement in Basic Science and Technology. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(6), 163.

Anderman, L. H., & Midgley, C. (1998). Motivation and middle school students. *ERIC Digest*. Champaign, IL: ERIC Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED421281)

Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., Airasian, P. W., Cruikshank, K. A., Mayer, R. E., Pintrich, P. R., Raths, J., & Wittrock, M. C. (2000). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. Complete Edition*. London, England: Pearson. Available at:
http://web.citadel.edu/root/images/cti/blooms_taxonomy_chart.pdf

Antonacci, P.A., C.M. O'Callaghan, & E. Berkowitz. (2015). *Developing content area literacy: 40 strategies for middle and secondary classrooms*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
Barron, B. (2003). When smart groups fail. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(3), 307-359. doi. 10.1207/S15327809JLS1203_1

Baumann, J.F., Kame'enui, E.J., & Ash, G.E. (2003). Research on vocabulary instruction: Voltaire redux. *Handbook of research on teaching the English language arts* (2nd ed., pp. 752-785). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum

Beck, I. L, McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2002). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction*. New York: Guilford.

Beers, K. (2003). *When kids can't read: What teachers can do*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Bintz, W. P. (2011). Teaching vocabulary across the curriculum. *Middle School Journal*, 44-53. Available at:
<http://littoolkit.pbworks.com/f/Middle%20School%20Vocabulary%20Strategies.pdf>

Biringkanae, A. (2018). The Use of SQ3r Technique in Improving Students' Reading Comprehension. *ELS Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, 1(2), 218–225.
<https://doi.org/10.34050/els-jish.v1i2.4316>

Boeing 737 NG Flight Control Manual. “Aileron Transfer Mechanism.” p. 13. Available at: <https://www.slideshare.net/theoryce/b737-ng-flight-controls>

Boggs, J., Cohen, J. and Marchand, G. (2017), “The effects of doodling on recall ability”, *Psychological Thought*, 10(1), pp. 206-216.

Bohay, M., Blakely, D. P., Tamplin, A. K., & Radvansky, G. A. (2011). Note Taking, Review, Memory, and Comprehension. *The American Journal of Psychology*, 124(1), 63–73.
<https://doi.org/10.5406/amerjpsyc.124.1.0063>

Breiseth, L. (2015). What You Need to Know about ELLs: Fast Facts. Available at: <http://www.colorincolorado.org/article/what-you-need-know-about-ells-fast-facts>

Burke, M. D., Boon, R. T., Hatton, H., & Bowman-Perrott, L. (2015). Reading interventions for middle and secondary students with emotional and behavioral disorders: A quantitative review of single-case studies. *Behavior Modification*, 39(1), 43–68.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445514547958>

Calkins, L.M. (2000). *The Art of Teaching Reading*. NY: Pearson.

Cartwright, K. B. (Ed.). (2008). *Literacy processes: Cognitive flexibility in learning and teaching*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Clements, A. (1996). *Frindle*. New York, NY: Aladdin Paperbacks.

Coleman, D. & Pimentel S. (2012) *Revised Publisher’s Criteria for the Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts and Literacy, Grades K-12*.
http://www.corestandards.org/assets/Publishers_Criteria_for_3-12.pdf

Collier, L. (2007). The Council Chronicle. *The National Council of Teachers of English*. IL: Urbana, NCTE.

Day, R. R. & Park, J. S. (2005). “Developing Reading Comprehension Questions.” In *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 17(1), pp. 60-73.

Denton, C. A., Wolters, C. A., York, M. J., Swanson, E., Kulesz, P. A., & Francis, D. J. (2015). Adolescents’ use of reading comprehension strategies: Differences related to reading proficiency, grade level, and gender. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 37, 81–95.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014.11.016>

Dev, P.C. (1997). Intrinsic motivation and academic achievement: What does their relationship imply for the classroom teacher? *Remedial and Special Education*, 18(1), 12-19.

Dewitz, P., Jones, J., & Leahy, S. (2009). Comprehension strategy instruction in core reading programs. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 44(2), 102-126.

Draper, D. (2010). *Comprehension Strategies: Making Connections*. [online] Public Schools of Robeson County, N.C. Available at: <https://www.robeson.k12.nc.us/cms/lib/NC01000307/Centricity/Domain/3916/Making%20Connections.pdf>

Ehren, B.J. (n.d.). “Response to Intervention in Secondary Schools: Is It on Your Radar Screen?” Retrieved 11 May 2018 from <http://www.rtinetwork.org/learn/rti-in-secondary-schools/response-to-intervention-in-secondary-schools>

EL Education. (2023). “Leaders of Their Own Learning.” Available at: <https://eleducation.org/resources/chapter-1-learning-targets>

Engle, R. A., & Conant, F. R. (2002). Guiding principles for fostering productive disciplinary engagement: Explaining an emergent argument in a community of learners classroom. *Cognition and Instruction*, 20(4), 399-483.

Estacio, M. J. M. (2013). Bilingual readers’ metacognitive strategies as predictors of reading comprehension. *Philippine ESL Journal*, 10, 179- 199.

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>

Frey, N., Fisher, D., & Hernandez, T. “‘What’s the Gist?’ Summary Writing for Struggling Adolescent Writers.” *Voices from the Middle* 11.2 (2003): 43-49.

Gajria, M., Jitendra, A. K., Sood, S., & Sacks, G. (2007). Improving comprehension of expository text in students with LD: A research synthesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 40(3), 210–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194070400030301>

Gathercole, S. and Alloway, T. (2004), “Working memory and classroom learning”, *Dyslexia Review*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 4-9.

Goldstein, D. (2019). “After 10 Years of Hopes and Setbacks, What Happened to the Common Core?” *The New York Times*. Retrieved 17 April 2023 from <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/06/us/common-core.html>

Goldstein, S., & Naglieri, J. (Eds.). (2015). *Executive functioning handbook*. New York, NY: Springer.

Graves, M., Baumann, J., Blachowicz, C., Manyak, P., Bates, A., Cieply, C., Davis, J., & Gunten, H. (2014). Words, Words Everywhere, But Which Ones Do We Teach? *The Reading Teacher*, 67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.1228>

Greece Central School District. (2006) Conflict Dissection. *Tools for Reading, Writing, & Thinking*. North Greece, NY.

Harmon, J. M. (2000). Assessing and supporting independent word learning strategies of middle school students. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 43(6), 518–527.

Harmon, J. M., Wood, K. D., & Kiser, K. (2009). Promoting vocabulary learning with the interactive word wall. *Middle School Journal*, 40(3), 58–63.

Hebert, M., Bohaty, J., Nelson, J., & Brown, J. (2016). The effects of text structure instruction on expository reading comprehension: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(5), 609–629. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000082>

Henderson, S. C. & Dorn, L. J. (2011). “Supporting Students Who Struggle With Comprehension of Text: Using Literature Discussion Groups in Grades 3-6.” In J. R. Paratore and R. L. McCormack (Eds.) *After Early Intervention, Then What? Teaching Struggling Readers in Grade 3 and Beyond*, 2nd Ed. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Herber, H.L. (1993). *Teaching in Content Areas with Reading, Writing, and Reasoning*. NY: Pearson.

Hodanbosi, C. (1996). “The First and Second Laws of Motion.” *National Aeronautics and Space Administration*. Available at: https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/WindTunnel/Activities/first2nd_lawsf_motion.html#:~:text=Newton's%20First%20Law%20of%20Motion,upon%20by%20an%20outside%20force.

IRA/NCTE. (2006). “QAR Question Prompts” Available at: https://www.readwritethink.org/sites/default/files/resources/lesson_images/lesson980/qar.pdf

Jones, R. (2006) *Summarizing*. ReadingQuest.org: Making Sense in Social Studies. Curry School of Education, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA. 7

Kamil, M., Borman, G., Dole, J., Kral, C., Salinger, T., & Torgesen, J. (2008). *Improving adolescent literacy: Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice Guide* (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Available at: http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/practice_guides/adlit_pg_082608.pdf

Kasten, W. C., Kristo, J. V., & McClure, A. A. (2005). *Living literature. Using children’s literature to support reading and language arts*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.

Kerns, G. M. & Bryan, J. (2018). “10 Staggering Statistics About Struggling Readers and Reading Growth.” Retrieved 17 April 2023 from <https://www.weareteachers.com/staggering-statistics-about-struggling-readers-and-reading-growth/>

Keene, E. O., & Zimmerman, S. (1997) *Mosaic of thought: Teaching reading comprehension in a reader's workshop*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Kohn, A. (1999). *Punished by rewards: The trouble with gold stars, incentive plans, A's, praise, and other bribes*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Küçükoğlu, H. (2013). Improving Reading Skills Through Effective Reading Strategies. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 70, 709–714.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.01.113>

Lee, H. (1961). *To Kill a Mockingbird*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

Linan-Thompson, S., & S. Vaughn. (2007). *Research-based methods of reading instruction for English language learners, grades K-4*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Loftus, S. M., & Coyne, M. D. (2013). Vocabulary instruction within a multi-tier approach. *Reading & Writing: Overcoming Learning Difficulties*, 29(1), 4-19.

Longman, D. and Atkinson, R. (1999). *College Learning and Study Skills*. NY: Thomson Learning.

Lowry, L. (1989). *Number the Stars*. New York, NY: Sandpiper.

Lowry, L. (1993). *The Giver*. New York, NY: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Mangasi, P. (2019). Implementation of SQ3R to Increase Reading Interest, Critical Thinking Skills, and Ability to Understand Language Reading of 6th Grade Students. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*. 1. 71-81.

Marzano, R.J. (2010a). *The Art and Science of Teaching / Summarizing to Comprehend*. Educational Leadership. Volume 67(6).

Marzano, R.J. (2010b). "Teaching Inference." *Educational Leadership*, 67(7), pp. 80-81.

Marzano R.J., Pickering D. J., and Pollock J.E. (2001). *Classroom Instruction that Works: Research-Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement*. New York: Pearson.

McIntosh, J. E. (2010). Reader response journals: Novice teachers reflect on their implementation process. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education* [Online], 6(1), 119-133.

McLaughlin. M. & Allen, M. B. (2002). *Guided Comprehension: A Teaching Model for Grades 3-8*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Meador, D. (2019). "How Depth of Knowledge Drives Learning and Assessment." Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.thoughtco.com/how-depth-of-knowledge-drives-learning-and-assessment-3194253>

- Meltzer, L. J., Greschler, M., Kurkul, K., & Stacey, W. (2015). Executive function and peer mentoring: Fostering metacognitive awareness, effort, and academic success. In K. Harris & L. Meltzer (Eds.), *The power of peers in the classroom: Enhancing learning and social skills* (pp. 1–32). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Miller, W. H. (1995). *Alternative Assessment Techniques for Reading and Writing*. NY: Jossey-Bass.
- Miller, W. H. (1996). *Reading and Writing Remediation Kit*. NY: Jossey-Bass.
- Murphy, P. K., Greene, J. A., Firetto, C. M., Li, M., Lobczowski, N. G., Duke, R. F., Wei, L., & Croninger, R. M. V. (2017). Exploring the influence of homogeneous versus heterogeneous grouping on students' text-based discussions and comprehension. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 51*, 336–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2017.09.003>
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP, 2017). "Reading Performance." Available at: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/pdf/coe_cnb.pdf
- Nagy, W., & Scott, J. (2000). "Vocabulary processes." In M.I. Kamil, P.B. Mosenthal, P.D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of Reading Research* (Vol. 3, pp. 269-284). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Nation, K. (2008). [online] University of Pittsburgh. Retrieved 04 Jan. 2019 from <https://www.pitt.edu/~perfetti/PDF/Nation.pdf>
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (NAEP, 2022). "National Achievement-Level Results." Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/nation/achievement/?grade=4>
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers (2010). *Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts*. Washington, D.C.: National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers.
- Nayar, B., & Koul, S. (2019). The journey from recall to knowledge: A study of two factors – structured doodling and note-taking on a student's recall ability. *International Journal of Educational Management, 34*(1), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-01-2019-0002>
- Nelson-Herber, J. (1986). Expanding and Refining Vocabulary in Content Areas. *Journal of Reading, Vol. 29* (7).
- O'Connor, R., & K. Bell (2004). "Teaching students with reading disability to read words." In A. Stone, E. Silliman, B. Ehren, & K. Apel (Eds.), *Handbook of language and literacy* (pp. 481–498). New York: Guilford Press.

Ogle, D.C. Blachowicz, P. Fisher, & L. Lang (2016). *Academic vocabulary in middle and high school: Effective practices across the disciplines*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Padang, J.M. (2014) *Improving Students Achievement in Writing Descriptive Text Through Mind Mapping Strategy*. UNIMED.

Padilla, M. 1990. The Science Process Skills. *Research Matters – to the Science Teacher* No. 9004. Retrieved from <http://www.narst.org/publications/research/skill.cfm>.

Pardo, L. S. (2004). What Every Teacher Needs to Know About Comprehension. *The Reading Teacher*, 58(3), 272–280. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.58.3.5>

Platt, R. & Wolfe, J. (2012). “Two-Column Notes: The Twin Pillars Supporting Reading and Writing of Non-Fiction Texts.” *We Teach, We Learn*. Available at: <http://www.weteachwelearn.org/2012/02/two-column-notes-the-twin-pillars-supporting-reading-and-writing-of-non-fiction-texts/>

Pourhosein Gilakjani, A., & Sabouri, N. (2016). How Can Students Improve Their Reading Comprehension Skill? *Journal of Studies in Education*, 6, 229. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jse.v6i2.9201>

Pyle, N., Vasquez, A. C., Lignugaris/Kraft, B., Gillam, S. L., Reutzel, D. R., Olszewski, A., Segura, H., Hartzheim, D., Laing, W., & Pyle, D. (2017). Effects of Expository Text Structure Interventions on Comprehension: A Meta-Analysis. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 52(4), 469–501. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.179>

Raphael, T. E., & Au, K. H. (2005). “QAR: Enhancing Comprehension and Test Taking Across Grades and Content Areas.” *The Reading Teacher*, 59(3), pp. 206–221. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.59.3.1>

Raphael, T.E., Highland, K., & Au, K.H. (2006). *QAR Now*. New York: Scholastic.

Reading Rockets. (2017). “Concept Maps.” *Reading Rockets*. Retrieved 04 Feb. 2019 from http://www.readingrockets.org/strategies/concept_maps

Reading Rockets. (2023). “Comprehension.” *Reading Rockets*. Retrieved 12 April 2023 from <https://www.readingrockets.org/helping/target/comprehension>

Responsive Classroom. (2016). *The Joyful Classroom: Practical Ways to Engage and Challenge Students K-6*. Turners Falls, MA. Center for Responsive Schools

Robinson, F.P. (1970). *Effective Study*. NY: Harper and Row.

Roe, B. Smith, S.H. & Burns. P.C. (2011). *Teaching Reading in Today's Elementary Schools*. NY: Wadsworth Publishing.

Roediger, H.L., & Karpicke, J.D. (2006a). The power of testing memory: Basic research and implications for educational practice. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 1(3), 181-210. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6916.2006.00012.x

Rutzler, S. (2020). "Importance of Reading Comprehension." *Genie Academy*. Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.mathgenie.com/blog/importance-of-reading-comprehension>

Ryan, S., & Frazee, D. (2012). *Common Core Standards for High School English Language Arts*. ASCD.

Sáenz, L. M., & Fuchs, L. S. (2002). Examining the Reading Difficulty of Secondary Students with Learning Disabilities: Expository Versus Narrative Text. *Remedial and Special Education*, 23(1), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074193250202300105>

Scammacca, N.K., G. Roberts, S. Vaughn, & K.K. Stuebing (2013). "A Meta-Analysis of Interventions for Struggling Readers in Grades 4-12." Retrieved 11 May 2018 from http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0022219413504995?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rft_dat=cr_pub%3dpubmed

Schlozman, S.C., & Schlozman, V.R. (2000). *Chaos in the Classroom: Looking at ADHD*. *Educational Leadership*, 53(3), 28-33.

Sedita, J. (2005). *Effective Vocabulary Instruction*. *Insights on Learning Disabilities* 2(1) 33-45.

Shanahan, T., Callison, K., Carriere, C., Duke, N. K., Pearson, P. D., Schatschneider, C., & Torgesen, J. (2015). Improving reading comprehension in kindergarten through 3rd grade (NCEE 2010-4038). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance. Retrieved from <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/14>

Shanker, J.L. & Ekwall, E.E. (2002). *Locating and Correcting Reading Difficulties*. NY: Prentice Hall.

Siegesmund, A. (2017). Using self-assessment to develop metacognition and self-regulated learners. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 364(11). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx096>

Stahl, K. A. D. (2008). "The Effects of Three Instructional Methods on the Reading Comprehension and Content Acquisition." *Journal of Literacy Research*, 40(3), pp. 359-393.

Stahl, S., & Fairbanks, M. (1986). The effects of vocabulary instruction: A model-based meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 56, 72–110.

Stanovich, K. E. (1986). Matthew effects in reading: Some consequences of individual differences in the acquisition of literacy. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21, 360–407.

Starke, K. (2021). “The Importance of Background knowledge in Understanding Text.” *TeachHUB*. Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.teachhub.com/teaching-strategies/2021/08/the-importance-of-background-knowledge-in-understanding-text/>

Strobel Education. (2021). “General Stats About Educational Standards and Teaching.” Available at: <https://strobeeducation.com/stats-about-educational-standards-and-teaching/>

Takarroucht, K. (2021). The Effect of Self-assessment on the Development of EFL Reading Comprehension Skills. *Journal of English Education and Teaching*, 5(2), 231–247. <https://doi.org/10.33369/jcet.5.2.231-247>

Templeton, S., D.R. Bear, M. Invernizzi, & F.R. Johnston (2015). *Vocabulary their way: Word study with middle and secondary students*. Boston, MA: Pearson Education.

TIES Center, University of Minnesota. (2023). “Analyze how the structure of a text develops story elements (theme, setting, plot) (Inclusive Big Idea #5).” Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://tiescenter.org/topics/inclusive-instruction/ibi/rl-6-5>

Topping, K. J., Samuels, J. & Paul, T. (2007). Does practice make perfect? Independent reading quantity, quality and student achievement. *Learning and Instruction*, 17, 253-264.

U.S. Department of Education. (2022). “Scores decline in NAEP reading at grades 4 and 8 compared to 2019.” *Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2022 Reading Assessment*. Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/highlights/reading/2022/>

U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), (1992–2019). Reading Assessments, NAEP Data Explorer. See *Digest of Education Statistics 2019*, [table 221.12](#).

U.S. Geological Survey. (USGS, n.d.). “Measurable Performance Verbs for Writing Objectives.” Retrieved 29 March 2023 from <https://www.usgs.gov/human-capital/measurable-performance-verbs-writing-objectives#:~:text=Do%20not%20use%20the%20following,These%20are%20not%20measurable!>

Venezky, R. (2000). *The origins of the present-day chasms between adult literacy needs and school literacy instruction*. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 4(1), 19–39.

Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Washington Educational Television Authority. (WETA, 2023). “Key Literacy Component: Text Comprehension.” *AdLit: All About Adolescent Literacy*. Retrieved 20 April 2023 from <https://www.adlit.org/topics/comprehension/key-literacy-component-text-comprehension>

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. (2016). "Learning Vocabulary in Science."
Available at: <https://dpi.wi.gov/science/disciplinary-literacy/vocabulary>

Wong, L. (2006). *Essential study skills* (5th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Wright, W. (2010). *Foundations for Teaching English Language Learners: Research, Theory, Policy, and Practice*. Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.

Yuksel, I., & Yuksel, I. (2012). Metacognitive awareness of academic reading strategies. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 31, 894-898.